

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,950	08/07/2003	Inka Henze	2719	1227
7590 02/04/2005			EXAMINER	
STRIKER, STRIKER & STENBY			STEIN, STEPHEN J	
103 East Neck F Huntington, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Truntington, 14	11/45		1775	
			DATE MAILED: 02/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·· ———	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0.55 - 4.45 - 0	10/635,950	HENZE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen J Stein	1775				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/17/03. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/635,950

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 1-11 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 10/806,761. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented. While it is noted that the claims of the '761 application use the preamble article and the claims of the instant application use the words apparatus, the words are interpreted as being completely synonymous since there is nothing in the claims to distinguish them.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 3 recites the limitation "wherein said surface coating is provided

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/635,950

Art Unit: 1775

on a surface of said supporting substrate to be coated after activation of said surface of said supporting substrate to be coated and/or after applying at least one or more suitable adhesives to said surface of said supporting substrate to be coated". This limitation is unclear to the examiner. The limitation "to be coated after activation of said surface of said supporting substrate to be coated" makes the claim indefinite because it is unclear what is meant by the second phrase "to be coated". Clarification is requested.

Page 3

4. Claim 9 recites the limitation "further comprising an additional protective layer for said surface coating and wherein said additional protective layer comprises an enamel and/or decorative or functional printing with ceramic or organic decorative paint". This limitation makes the claims indefinite because the claim improperly claims in the alternative. Consequently the scope of the claim is unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,424,130 (Nakashani et al.)

Nakashani teaches a water repellent glass sheet (a component for a cooking appliance or glass baking sheet) made of a glass substrate and water repellant film comprised of SiO₂ and a fluoroalkyl silane (inconspicuous hydrophobic coating) (See abstract and col. 6). Nakashani further teaches that the water contact angle is 110° (See Table 1) and has a thickness of 0 –

Art Unit: 1775

100nm (Claim 11). With regard to the claimed heat resistant temperatures, it is expected that the disclosed water repellent film will exhibit this property since it is the same composition as claimed by applicants. With regard to the process limitations recited in the claims, process limitations in product claims are generally not dispositive on patentability unless it is shown that the process limitations produce a materially different product. MPEP §2113.

7. Claims 1-8, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5624625 (Takahashi et al.).

Takahashi teaches a multilayer water glass repellent film formed on a glass substrate (a component for a cooking appliance or glass baking sheet) comprised of a fluoroalkylsilane and tin oxide particles (See abstract). Takahashi further that the water repellent film has water contact angle of 100° and greater (See Tables 1 and 2) and a thickness of 50nm. The reference further teaches that the tin oxide particles have a particle size of about 5nm (nanometer sized particles) (See example 25). With regard to the claimed heat resistant temperatures, it is expected that the disclosed water repellent film will exhibit this property since it is the same composition as claimed by applicants. With regard to the process limitations recited in the claims, process limitations in product claims are generally not dispositive on patentability unless it is shown that the process limitations produce a materially different product. MPEP §2113.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Stein whose telephone number is 572-272-1544. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. If the

Application/Control Number: 10/635,950

Art Unit: 1775

Page 5

attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached by dialing 571-272-1535. The official fax number is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

February 1, 2005

Stephen J. Stein Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1775